## Strategic Planning Board 29th January 2020

### UPDATE TO AGENDA

#### APPLICATION No.

**19/1392M** – Reserved Matters in relation to scale, appearance, landscape and layout for the erection of 190 dwellings including allotments, community orchard, playing pitch, landscaping, open space, car and cycle parking, drainage and associated works pursuant to outline application 17/3853M.

#### LOCATION

Land North Of, Northwich Road, Knutsford

### UPDATE PREPARED

27th January 2020

#### DETAILS OF PROPOSAL

Since the submission of the committee report, 2 further sets of revised plans and statements have been received to account for the following further changes;

- Pedestrian footpath link added between Plots 43, 81 & 82. Landscaping details also included
- Dual Aspect dwellings proposed for Plots 43, 81 & 82
- Plot 43 has been updated to a Sudlow LTH Corner Turner
- Additional light column between Plots 81 & 82 to increase security
- Hard Landscaping Plan materials updated further
- Widened the North West POS footpath from 2m to 3m to enable a 3m shared pedestrian/cycleway.

These changes have been made in response to correspondence between the applicant and the Council's Urban Design Officer's, the Knutsford Community Groups and Knutsford Town Council.

In addition, an updated 'Development Phasing Plan' has been received to address the ANSA Open Space Officer's concerns about the delivery timeframe of POS.

### CONSULTATIONS (External to Planning)

#### Head of Strategic Infrastructure (Highways) – No objections

**Environmental Protection** – No objections, subject to implementation of noise impact mitigation measures as shown in version 6 of Noise Impact Assessment

# ANSA Open Space Officer – No objections

**Knutsford Town Council** – No updated comments received at the time this update was written. A verbal update will be provided at committee as it is understood that these comments will be received on the 28<sup>th</sup> January 2020.

**Knutsford Community Groups** – Have provided detailed comments on the updated proposals. The comments do not conclude with either an 'objection' or a 'no objection'. However, in the main, these comments suggest that the lion share of issues they have previously raised have been addressed and it is noted that they agree with the updated comments from the Council's Urban Design Officer (who raises no objections, subject to conditions). However, the remaining concerns they rise include;

- Housing mix lack of number of bungalows proposed & lack of Life Time Home (LTH) complaint affordable dwellings
- Sustainable residential design lack of renewable energy provision overall (incl solar panels), lack of solar panels on affordable housing (with the suggestion that this does not assist in them being tenure blind) and proposals to harvest rainwater on site to be used for allotments not shown, but noted that it will be considered a part of the discharge of conditions application
- Other matters Note applicant's agreement to various further drainage solutions and hope these are carried through to the discharge of conditions application
- AOB Request to seek an amendment to the roundabout by facilitating an extra exit lane facilitating a right-turn onto Northwich Road.

### APPRAISAL

### <u>Design</u>

The proposed further changes to the scheme have been made in response to discussions and/or consultations responses received from the Council's Urban Design Officer's, the Knutsford Community Groups and Knutsford Town Council.

Although the Council's Urban Design Officer was satisfied with the proposals, subject to conditions, the applicant has sought to address those remaining elements of the scheme that were still of concern, not only to the Officer, but also the Knutsford Community Groups.

More specifically, the application now seeks to add a pedestrian footpath link to the east of the Children's Play area in order to improve connectivity. The adjacent house types to this footpath have also been updated so they are more appropriate for a corner plot. The lighting plan has been updated in order to provide lighting for the new footpath link. In addition, the applicant has provided a revised hard surfacing plan and the footpath within the POS to the north-west of the site has been widened in order to enable a 3m shared footpath/cycleway.

The Council's Urban Design Officer previous assessment of the development using the Cheshire East Design Guide SPD (which uses a traffic light system to assess design), concluded that the proposal turned a lot of the previous 'amber' assessments into 'greens'. In response to the further revised plans received, this assessment has been re-done and upgraded. It is now concluded that the scheme comprises of 10 greens, 1 amber (materials and type) and 0 reds. This assessment is subject to a conditions requiring the prior submission/approval of updated hard surfacing materials and the prior submission/approval of facing (walls and windows)/roofing materials. It has been clarified with the Urban Design Officer that once the material details are agreed at discharge of conditions stage, this amber would turn to green.

The Knutsford Community Groups have also commented on the proposals and appear largely satisfied that the majority of their concerns have been addressed. However, they retain concerns about the number of bungalows proposed being too few in number and that the affordable dwellings have not been designed to LTH standards as many of the other dwellings have been. They are also still disappointed with the amount of renewable energy solutions provided.

In response, it is considered that the applicant has made considerable changes to the scheme before arriving at the current set of plans and has worked closely with both Council and community groups in order to address their concerns. Whilst there are always matters that could be further improved, it is deemed that the proposals are now of a very good standard of design, much better than the quality of the scheme originally considered by committee.

Subject to the proposed materials conditions, it is deemed that the scheme adheres with the requirements of policies; SD2 and SE1 of the CELPS, the Cheshire East Design Guide SPD and the Knutsford Neighbourhood Plan.

### Public Open Space

The S106 Agreement associated with the outline permission requires the applicant to submit a Phasing Plan prior to commencement of development. This has been provided with the current application. However, concerns were raised by the ANSA Open Space Officer about some of the phases in which the POS is being shown for delivery. More specifically; that the allotment delivery is being split over 2 phases (3 and 6) & that the football pitch delivery is not being delivered until phase 7.

A revised phasing plan has now been received to the satisfaction of the ANSA Open Space Officer.

#### <u>Trees</u>

It is unclear at the time of writing this update whether the submitted tree protection plans and statements need to be updated to reflect the latest minor amendments to the layout. As such, in the event of approval, unless otherwise advised by a verbal update, it is proposed that the AIA and Tree Protection Plan/s be conditioned to be updated.

## Other matters

In response to the remaining concerns of the Knutsford Community Groups in relation to flood risk and drainage, this matter will be dealt with via the live discharge of conditions application being considered in parallel to this application. The applicant has advised that they intend to include land drains to the site boundary with Warren Avenue to remove the effects of existing surface water drainage concerns in the low spot. This is noted when this other application is considered.

In response to the Community group's suggestion to amend the roundabout layout for highway safety reasons (congestion), procedurally this cannot be dealt with by this application. This is because matters of 'Access' were dealt with as part of the outline planning application. As such, any changes proposed to this element of the scheme would need to be dealt with as amendments to the outline application. Notwithstanding this, the Council's Highways Officer raised no objections to the approved roundabout layout at the time.

# CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

No change to recommendation, other than amendments to conditions to account for the fact that a few of the submitted plans & statements need to be updated to reflect the very latest minor changes, for completeness.

A verbal update will be provided to committee if any of these further conditions are no longer required in the event that either a consultee advises updates are not required or acceptable updated versions are received in the intervening period.

# **APPROVE** subject to the following conditions

- 1. In accordance with outline permission
- 2. In accordance with approved plans
- 3. Updated facing (walls and windows) and roofing materials Prior submission/approval
- 4. Updated AIA Prior submission/approval
- 5. Updated Tree protection Prior submission/approval
- 6. Updated landscape scheme to require revised hard surfacing materials specification Prior submission/approval
- 7. Landscape Implementation
- 8. Noise Impact Assessment Mitigation Implementation
- 9. No lighting should be used during construction of the drainage works on Sudlow Lane
- **10. Hedgerow planting Implementation**
- 11. Habitat Landscape Management Plan Implementation
- 12. Ecology enhancement strategy/plan Implementation

- 13. No surface water shall discharge to the existing public sewerage system either directly or indirectly in accordance with the submitted information
- 14. Football Pitch Installation and Maintenance Strategy (v2) Implementation & submission/approval of a final inspection by sports turf agronomist prior to first use
- 15. Updated existing and proposed spot levels and finished slab levels Prior submission/approval

In order to give proper effect to the Strategic Planning Board's intent and without changing the substance of its decision, authority is delegated to the Acting Head of Planning in consultation with the Chair (or in their absence the Vice Chair) to correct any technical slip or omission in the resolution, before issue of the decision notice.